Court Ruling Doesn't Affect Current Wiretaps, Levi Says

By Ronald Kessler Washington Post Staff Writer Warrantless wiretaps currently in use by the Justice Department in national security cases do not violate this distinguished from criminal security and foreign intelliweek's Court of Appeals rul-cases. ing narrowing the grounds on which such telephone taps can be installed, Attorney General Edward H. Levi said yes- taps in domestic security cases. not acting in collaboration terday.

reporters, and in a letter to tion in foreign security cases. Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.), Levi said the department's present policy is in line with the holding of the court.

electronic surveillances withor foreign security cases, as lance authorized for national

three years ago said court organization that is neither orders are required for wire- a nagent of a foreign power The decision left open the In a luncheon meeting with question of court authoriza-

> This week's ruling by the U.S. appeals court here said a warrant must be obtained before a wiretap can be installed on a domestic group which is not an agent of a foreign power or a collaborator of a foreign power.

> This left open the question of whether court approval would be required to wiretap a group or individual susorder.

wiretap on the New York way."

The government has long headquarters of the Jewish contended that it may conduct Defense League, Levi said in a letter to Kennedy, "Since I became Attorney General [in out a court order in domestic February], electronic surveilgence purposes has not been However, the Supreme Court directed at an individual or with a foreign power."

> While a Justice Department spokesman said yesterday that no decision has been made on whether to appeal the court ruling, Levi told reporters, "The Department of Justice is only in the case as a lawyer for [former Attorney General John N.] Mitchell and nine FBI agents, in their official and private capacity. They may or may not wish to appeal."

Levi added, "I doubt if we would wish to argue with the pected of spying on the United holding in the case. But what States or of being a foreign do you do with an opinion of agent. However, the court said a judge that wanders all over its reasoning could be applied th emap and . . . suggests to all foreign security wire- that the Department of Justice taps conducted without court or someone can rewrite or reinterpret the law as passed by Commenting on the recent Congress, I don't know. But decision, which involved a I doubt that an appeal is the

United Press International

HOW A BUG WORKS—Consultant James, T. Fahy explains the mechanics of a device for intercepting telephone conversa-

tions as the National Wiretap Commission began three days of hearings on the abuse of electronic surveillance equipment.